An adjoint-enabled simulation framework for cardiac electrophysiology

Marie E. Rognes

Center for Biomedical Computing

Simula Research Laboratory

with Patrick Farrell, Simon Funke, Johan Hake, Molly Maleckar

Outline

- 1. Inverting the heart
- 2. Point integrals
- 3. Multistage schemes and their adjoints



[simula . research laboratory]

Heart disease is the leading cause of death in the world



The beating of the heart is driven by the electrical signalling of heart cells



[http://www.bostonscientific.com]

Research aims at commercially and clinically driven advances in cardiac diagnostics and treatments



[Edvardsen, Maleckar, Wall et al]

Adjoints are ubiquitous

Constrained optimal control

 $\max_m J(u,m) \quad \text{while} \quad F(u,m)=0$

Gradient-based optimization algorithms require the gradient of ${\cal J}$ with respect to m.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}m} = J_u \frac{\partial u}{\partial m} + J_m$$

Define the adjoint solution z

$$F_u^* z = J_u$$

Then, the derivative computation only involves one forward solve for u and one backward solve for z independent of #m:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}m} = -F_m z + J_m$$

Other applications

Sensitivity analysis, data assimilation, error control, generalized stability theory, \ldots

Treating abnormal cardiac acitivity: How to find the optimal region to treat atrial fibrillation by ablation?

Find the optimal ablation region m to achieve defibrillation

$$\min_{m} J(u,m) \quad s.t. \quad F(u,m) = 0$$



© FDM 2005

[http://www.londonarrhythmiacentre.co.uk/]

For defibrillation, one may consider:

$$J(u,m) = \|u - u_{ideal}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{obs}))}^{2} + \alpha \mathcal{R}(m)$$

[Nagaiah et al, 2011]

The significant gap in maturity between forward and reverse cardiac modelling motivates a new adjoint-enabled simulation framework

Obtaining

```
$ hg clone ssh://hg@bitbucket.org/meg/adjoint-beat
$ cd adjoint-beat
$ python setup.py install --prefix=/home/meg/local
```

Usage

from beatadjoint import *

The governing equations: the bidomain model

Find the transmembrane potential v = v(x, t), the extracellular potential $u_e(x, t)$ and the ionic current(s) s = s(x, t) such that for almost all $t \in (0, T]$:

$$v_t - \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla v + M_i \nabla u_e) = -I_{\operatorname{ion}}(v, s) + I_s,$$

$$\operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla v + (M_i + M_e) \nabla u_e) = g,$$

$$s_t = F(v, s),$$

with boundary conditions

$$(M_i \nabla v + M_i \nabla u_e) \cdot n = 0, \quad (M_i \nabla v + (M_i + M_e) \nabla u_e) \cdot n = 0$$

and
$$\int u_e = 0$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u_e = 0.$$

.

[Tung, 1978]

The typical discretization approach is based on operator splitting and iterations between an ODE and a PDE solve

1. With v^n and s^n as initial conditions at t_n , find v^* and s^* solving

$$v_t^* = -I_{\text{ion}}(v^*, s^*),$$

 $s_t^* = F(v^*, s^*)$

on $(t_n, t_n + \theta \kappa]$.

2. With v^{\ast} as initial condition, find v^{\dagger} and u_{e}^{n+1} such that

$$v^{\dagger}_{t} - \operatorname{div}(M_{i} \nabla v^{\dagger} + M_{i} \nabla u_{e}^{n+1}) = I_{s},$$

$$\operatorname{div}\left(M_{i} \nabla v^{\dagger} + (M_{i} + M_{e}) \nabla u_{e}^{n+1}\right) = g,$$

on $I_n = (t_n, t_{n+1}]$. 3. If $\theta < 1$: with v^{\dagger} and s^* as initial conditions at $t_{n+\theta\kappa}$, find v^{n+1} and s^{n+1} solving

$$\begin{aligned} v_t^{n+1} &= -I_{\text{ion}}(v^{n+1}, s^{n+1}), \\ s_t^{n+1} &= F(v^{n+1}, s^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

on $(t_n + \theta \kappa, t_{n+1}]$.

[Sundnes et al, 2006]

The specific forms of the ODEs are known as cell models, and greatly vary in complexity

[Fitzhugh, 1961; Rodgers & McCulloch, 1994]

$$v_t = \frac{c_1}{v_a^2} (v - v_r) (v - v_{th}) (v_p - v) - \frac{c_2}{v_a} (v - v_r) s$$

$$s_t = b(v - v_{rest} - c s)$$

[ten Tusscher & Panfilov, 2006, www.cellml.org]

</units>

<component name="environment">

variable name="time" units="millisecond" public_interface="out"/>
c/component>

<component name="membrane">

svariable name="V" units="millivolt" initial value="-85.23" public interface="out"/> <variable name="R" units="joule_per_mole_kelvin" initial_value="8314.472" public_interface=</pre> <variable name="T" units="kelvin" initial_value="310" public_interface="out"/> <variable name="7" units="coulomb_per_millimole" initial_value="96485.3415" public_interface</pre> svariable name="On" units="microf" initial value="0.185" public interface="out"/> <variable name="V_c" units="micrometre]" initial_value="0.016404" public_interface="out"/> svariable name="time" units="millisecond" public_interface="in"/> <variable name="i_K1" units="picoA_per_picoF" public_interface="in"/> swariable name="i to" units="picoA per picof" public interface="in"/> <variable name="i.Kr" units="picoA.per_picoF" public_interface="in"/> <variable name*"i_Ks" units*"picoA_per_picof" public_interface*"in"/> <variable name="i_CaL" units="picoA_per_picoF" public_interface="in"/> Svariable names"i NaK" unitss"picoA per picoF" public interfaces"in"/> <variable name="i_Na" units="picoA per_picoF" public interface="in"/> <variable name="i_b_Na" units="picoA_per_picof" public_interface="in"/> <variable name="i_NaCa" units="picoA_per_picoF" public_interface="in"/> <variable name="i_b_Ca" units="picoA_per_picoF" public_interface="in"/> <variable name="i_p_K" units="picoA_per_picoF" public_interface="in"/> <variable name="i.p.Ca" units="pico%_per_picoF" public_interface="in"/> <variable name="i_Stim" units="picoA_per_picoF" public_interface="out"/>



ODE discretizations via multistage schemes

Let
$$w = (v, s)$$
 and $G = (-I_{ion}, F)$:
 $w_t(x, t) = G(x, t, w(x, t)),$
 $w(0) = w_0.$
 $c_1 = a_{11} = a_{12} \dots = a_{1s}$
 $c_2 = a_{21} = a_{22} \dots = a_{2s}$
 $\vdots = \vdots = \vdots = \ddots = \vdots$
 $c_s = a_{s1} = a_{s2} \dots = a_{ss}$
 $b_1 = b_2 \dots = b_s$

ī.

For an s-stage scheme with time step κ_n and given w_n , solve

$$k_i(x) = \kappa_n G(x, t_n + c_i \kappa_n, w_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} k_j(x)), \quad i = 1, \dots, s$$

$$w(x)_{n+1} = w(x)_n + \sum_{i=1} b_i k_i(x).$$

The three types of solve have different requirements in the FEniCS context

Implicit non-linear solve $(a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for all } j \ge i)$

$$k_i(x) - \kappa_n G(x, t_n + c_i \kappa_n, w_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} k_j(x)) = 0$$

Explicit via function evaluation $(a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } j \ge i)$

$$k_i(x) = \kappa_n G(x, t_n + c_i \kappa_n, w_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} k_j(x))$$

Explicit via assignment

$$w(x)_{n+1} = w(x)_n + \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i(x).$$

[-> dolfin/multistage/*, site-packages/dolfin/multistage/*, demo/undocumented/multi-stage-solver/*]

Implementation of collocation methods motivated introducing the point measure

Definition

Let \mathcal{X} be a collection of points associated with the domain Ω . We define the *point measure* dP relative to \mathcal{X} by

$$\int_{\Omega} I \, \mathrm{d}P = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} I(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \int_{\Omega} I \delta_x \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Example

V = FiniteElement("CG", tetrahedron, 1) v = TestFunction(V) f = Coefficient(V) L = f*v*dP

[ufl/measure.py, ffc/quadrature/*]

FFC generated code for f*v*dP

```
// Array of quadrature weights.
static const double W1 = 1.0:
// Values of basis functions at quadrature points.
// Reset values in the element tensor.
for (unsigned int r = 0; r < 3; r++)
  A[r] = 0.0;
// Number of operations to compute geometry constants: 3.
double G[3];
G[0] = W1*w[0][0]:
G[1] = W1 * w[0][1];
G[2] = W1 * w[0][2];
switch (vertex)
case 0:
    // Total number of operations to compute element tensor (from this point):
  // Loop quadrature points for integral
  // Number of operations to compute element tensor for following IP loop = 1
  for (unsigned int ip = 0; ip < 1; ip++)</pre>
    // Number of operations for primary indices: 1
// Number of operations to compute entry: 1
    A[0] += G[0];
```

For vector-valued Lagrange elements, the point measure allows for specifying and solving ODEs as variational forms.

Consider the system: find $u \in V$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} I_a(u,v) \, \mathrm{d}P = \int_{\Omega} I_L(v) \, \mathrm{d}P$$

for all $v \in V$.

Let \mathcal{X} be the collection of vertices. Let $V = \mathcal{M}_1^N$.

For each $x_k \in \mathcal{X}$, find $\{u_j\}_{J_k}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in J_k} I_a(\phi_j, \phi_i)(x_k) u_j = I_L(\phi_i)(x_k)$$

for $i \in J_k$ where J_k is the index set of basis functions that are non-zero at x_k , $|J_k| = N$.

The point measures can be used to define multi-stage schemes for solving collections of ODEs

Example case: Explicit via function evaluation

For each vertex x_k , evaluate

$$k_{i}(x_{k}) = \kappa_{n} G(x_{k}, t_{n} + c_{i}\kappa_{n}, w_{n}(x_{k}) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}k_{j}(x_{k}))$$

Equivalent FEniCS code

Outline of the PointIntegralSolver algorithm

```
def step(G, k_i):
  for x k in vertices(mesh):
     # Identify one cell and local vertex number
     (cell, i) = cell_and_local_vertex(x_k)
     # Restrict any coefficients in G to this cell
     G.coefficients.restrict(w. cell)
     # Evaluate right hand side
     G.integrals[0].tabulate_tensor(b, w, cell, i)
     # Extract subset of active local dofs
     J k = find active dofs(i)
     # Reduce size of b
     b = b[J_k]
     # Compute the corresponding global dofs
     dofs = ki.tabulate dofs(cell) [J k]
     # Update ki
     ki.vector().add(b. dofs)
```

The block structure of a forward multistage solution step

For simplicity of presentation, consider the case where

$$G(\cdot, \cdot, w) = Cw$$

Forward structure (s = 2)

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\kappa_n C(\cdot) & I - \kappa_n a_{11} C(\cdot) & -\kappa_n a_{12} C(\cdot) & 0 \\ -\kappa_n C(\cdot) & -\kappa_n a_{21} C(\cdot) & I - \kappa_n a_{22} C(\cdot) & 0 \\ -I & -b_1 & -b_2 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_n \\ k_1 \\ k_2 \\ w_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The block structure of an adjoint multistage solution step

Adjoint structure

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & -\kappa_n C^*(\cdot) & -\kappa_n C^*(\cdot) & -I \\ 0 & (I - \kappa_n a_{11} C(\cdot))^* & -\kappa_n a_{21} C^*(\cdot) & -b_1 \\ 0 & -\kappa_n a_{12} C^*(\cdot) & (I - \kappa_n a_{22} C(\cdot))^* & -b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial w}$$

[dolfin/site-packages/multistage, dolfin-adjoint/dolfin_adjoint/pointintegralsolver.py]

Cardiac wave propagation with abnormal tissue conductivities as a basic example

```
# Set-up simulation scenario
cell = FitzhughNagumo()
heart = CardiacModel(mesh, time, M_i, M_e, cell, I_s)
solver = SplittingSolver(heart)
# Solve as you go along
solutions = solver.solve((0, T), k_n)
for (timestep, fields) in solutions:
    # Do something with solution fields
```

[Thanks to Sjur Gjerald and Johan Hake for patient-specific mesh (generated from ultrasound), fibers and sheets]

http://youtu.be/r6tLfMARKA0

What is the sensitivity of the abnormal wave propagation to the local tissue conductivities?

The wave propagation abnormality at a given time T:

$$J(v, s, u) = \|v(T) - v_{\text{obs}}(T)\|^2, \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial g_{\text{e}[\mathbf{i}]|\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{t}}} = 2$$

v_obs = Function(V, "healthy_obs_200.xml.gz")
J = Functional(inner(v - v_obs, v - v_obs)*dx*dt[T])
dJdg_s = compute_gradient(J, gs)





[Wikimedia Commons]